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## Why Word Embeddings?



IITH has been consistently ranked in the top 10 institutes in India for Engineering according to NIRF making it one of the most coveted schools for science and technology in the country.
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## Terminology

(1) Corpus: collection of authentic text organized into dataset
(2) Vocabulary ( V ): Set of unique words across all the $i / p$ streams
(3) Target: Representation for every word in V

## One-hot Encoding

(1) $|V|$ words encoded as binary vectors of length $|V|$

Dictionary
Word Representation

A
Bus

| 1 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots \cdots \cdots$ | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 0 | 1 | 0 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Cat

| 0 | 0 | 1 | $\cdots \cdots \cdots$ | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Tide

| 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 1 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Zone

| 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 0 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## One-hot encoding: Drawbacks

(1) Space inefficient (e.g. 13M words in Google 1T corpus)
(2) No notion of similarity (or, distance) between words
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## Distributed Representations

(1) Representation/meaning of a word should consider its context in the corpus
(2) Co-occurrence matrix can capture this!

- size: (\#words $\times$ \#words)
- rows: words (m), cols: context (n)
- words and context can be of same or different size
(3) Context can be defined as a ' $h$ ' word neighborhood
(4) Each row (column): vectorial representation of the word (context)


## Co-occurrence matrix

$$
X=\begin{gathered}
\quad \\
\text { I } \\
\text { like } \\
\text { enjoy } \\
\text { deep } \\
\text { NLP } \\
\text { flying }
\end{gathered}\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\text { I } & \text { like } & \text { enjoy } & \text { deep } & \text { learning } & \text { NLP } & \text { flying } & . \\
0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$
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## Co-occurrence matrix

(1) Very sparse
(2) Very high-dimensional (grows with the vocabulary size)
(3) Solution:Dimensionality reduction (SVD)!
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## SVD on the Co-occurrence matrix

(1) $X=U \Sigma V^{T}$
(2) $[X]_{m \times n}=$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\uparrow & \ldots & \uparrow \\
u_{1} & \ldots & u_{k} \\
\downarrow & \cdots & \downarrow
\end{array}\right]_{m \times k} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\sigma_{1} & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & \sigma_{k}
\end{array}\right]_{k \times k} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\leftarrow & v_{1}^{T} & \rightarrow \\
& \vdots & \\
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(1) $X=U \Sigma V^{T}$
(2) $[X]_{m \times n}=$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\uparrow & \ldots & \uparrow \\
u_{1} & \cdots & u_{k} \\
\downarrow & \cdots & \downarrow
\end{array}\right]_{m \times k} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\sigma_{1} & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & \sigma_{k}
\end{array}\right]_{k \times k} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\leftarrow & v_{1}^{T} & \rightarrow \\
& \vdots & \\
\leftarrow & v_{k}^{T} & \rightarrow
\end{array}\right]_{k \times n}
$$

(3) $X=\sigma_{1} u_{1} v_{1}^{T}+\sigma_{2} u_{2} v_{2}^{T}+\ldots+\sigma_{k} u_{k} v_{k}^{T}$
(4) $\hat{X}=\sum_{i=1}^{d<k} \sigma_{i} u_{i} v_{i}^{T}$ is a $d$-rank approximation of $X$
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## SVD on the Co-occurrence matrix

(1) Before the SVD, representations were the rows of $X$
(2) How do we reduce the representation size with SVD ?
(3) $W_{\text {word }}=U_{m \times k} \cdot \Sigma_{k \times k}$
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## SVD on the Co-occurrence matrix

(1) $W_{\text {word }} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}(k \ll|V|=m)$ are considered the representation of the words
(2) Lesser dimensions but the same similarities! (one may verify that $\left.X X^{T}=\hat{X} \hat{X}^{T}\right)$
(3) $W_{\text {context }}=V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ are taken as the representations for the context words
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## Count-based vs prediction-based models

(1) Techniques we have seen so far rely on the counts (or, co-occurrence of words)
(2) Next, we see prediction based models for word embeddings
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## Word2Vec

(1) T Mikolov et al. (2013)
(2) Predict words from the context

## Word2Vec

(1) T Mikolov et al. (2013)
(2) Predict words from the context
(3) Two versions: Continuous Bag of Words (CBoW) and Skip-gram


Caption

## Bag of Words (BoW)

(1) Bag of Words: Collection and frequency of words


## CBoW

(1) Considers the embeddings of ' $h$ ' words before and ' $h$ ' words after the target word

## CBoW

(1) Considers the embeddings of ' $h$ ' words before and ' $h$ ' words after the target word
(2) Adds them (order is lost) for predicting the target word

INPUT PROJECTION OUTPUT

cBOW

## CBoW

The dog slept on couch
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Vocabulary: m words, N -d real representation for each word


## CBow

(1) Size of the vocabulary $=m$
(2) Dimension of the embeddings $=N$

Vocabulary: m words, N -d real representation for each word
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(1) Input layer $W_{N \times m}$ projects the context in to $N$-d space
(2) Representations of all the $(2 h)$ words in the context are summed (context is an $V$-d vector)
context
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(1) Next layer has a weight matrix $W_{V \times N}^{\prime}$
(2) Projects the accumulated embeddings onto the vocabulary

## Word Embeddings: CBoW

(1) $V$ - way classification $\rightarrow$ (after a softmax) maximizes the probability for the target word


## Word Embeddings: CBoW
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## Word Embeddings: CBoW

(1) $W_{N \times m}$ is the $W_{\text {context }}$
(2) $W_{m \times N}^{\prime}$ is the $W_{\text {words }}$

## CBoW: issues

(1) Softmax at the $\mathrm{o} / \mathrm{p}$ is very expensive $\hat{y}_{w}=\frac{\exp \left(u_{c} \cdot v_{w}\right)}{\sum_{w^{\prime} \in V} \exp \left(u_{c} \cdot v_{w^{\prime}}\right)}$
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## Word Embeddings: Skip-gram


$W_{N X m}$
embeddings input word


Input layer
,
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(1) Loss is the summatoin of $\mathbb{L}(\theta)=-\sum_{i=1}^{2 h} \log \left(\hat{y}_{w_{i}}\right)$
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## Skip-gram: Issues

(1) Expensive softmax operation at the $o / p$ (same as that of CBoW)
(2) Negative sampling: subset of incorrect words participate (instead of all)
(3) Other solutions: Contrastive estimation, and hierarchical softmax

## Glove

# (1) Glove - Global Vectors 

## Glove

(1) Glove - Global Vectors
(2) Combines the score based and predict based approaches
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(1) $X_{i j}$ in the cooccurrence matrix encodes the global info. about words $i$ and $j$
(2) Glove attempts to learn representations that are faithful to the cooccurrence info.
(3) $v_{i}^{T} v_{j}=\log P(j / i)=\log X_{i j}-\log X_{i}$
(4) $v_{j}^{T} v_{i}=\log P(i / j)=\log X_{i j}-\log X_{j}$

## Glove

(1) (add the two equations) $v_{i}^{T} v_{j}=\log X_{i j}-\frac{1}{2} \log X_{i}-\frac{1}{2} \log X_{j}$

## Glove

(1) (add the two equations) $v_{i}^{T} v_{j}=\log X_{i j}-\frac{1}{2} \log X_{i}-\frac{1}{2} \log X_{j}$
(2) Since $\log X_{i}$ and $\log X_{j}$ depend on the words $i$ and $j$, they can be considered as the word specific biases

## Glove

(1) (add the two equations) $v_{i}^{T} v_{j}=\log X_{i j}-\frac{1}{2} \log X_{i}-\frac{1}{2} \log X_{j}$
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## Glove

(1) (add the two equations) $v_{i}^{T} v_{j}=\log X_{i j}-\frac{1}{2} \log X_{i}-\frac{1}{2} \log X_{j}$
(2) Since $\log X_{i}$ and $\log X_{j}$ depend on the words $i$ and $j$, they can be considered as the word specific biases
(3) $v_{i}^{T} v_{j}+b_{i}+b_{j}=\log X_{i j}$
(4) $\sum_{i, j}\left(v_{i}^{T} v_{j}+b_{i}+b_{j}-\log X_{i j}\right)^{2}$

## Evaluating the embeddings

(1) Semantic relatedness (compute the correlation with humans' similarity)
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(1) Semantic relatedness (compute the correlation with humans' similarity)
(2) Synonym detection

## Evaluating the embeddings

(1) Semantic relatedness (compute the correlation with humans' similarity)
(2) Synonym detection
(3) Analogy

## Comparison among different models

(1) Difficult to judge!

## Comparison among different models

(1) Difficult to judge!
(2) Some studies favor the predict-based, some the cooccurrence based!!

